

Schindler, T


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re: Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
("MTBE") Products Liability Litigation
-----X

Master File No. 1:00-1898
MDL 1358 (SAS)
M21-88

This document relates to:

*City of Riverside v. Atlantic Richfield Company,
et al.*, No. 04-Civ-4969



-----X
**JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
DISMISSING CLAIMS WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS TO
EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION AND EXXONMOBIL OIL CORPORATION**

WHEREAS, Plaintiff City of Riverside ("Plaintiff") brought suit against Defendants Exxon Mobil Corporation (individually and f/k/a Exxon Corporation and d/b/a ExxonMobil Refining & Supply Company, Exxon Chemical U.S.A., and ExxonMobil Chemical Corporation) and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation (individually and f/k/a Mobil Oil Corporation) (collectively, "the Exxon Mobil defendants") and other Defendants alleging MTBE or TBA contamination of certain of its potable drinking water wells;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff also owns potable drinking water wells that have never had a detection of MTBE or TBA as of the date of this dismissal (referred to as "Unimpacted Wells");

WHEREAS, Plaintiff has decided not to pursue its claims against the Exxon Mobil Defendants at this time;

WHEREAS, the parties desire to clarify their respective positions with respect to the running of the statute of limitation applicable to claims based on future detections of MTBE and/or TBA in Unimpacted Wells after the date of this dismissal;


THEREFORE, as indicated by the signatures of the respective counsel below, Exxon Mobil Corporation and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation agree that the statute of limitation has not begun to run as to claims based on future detections of MTBE and/or TBA in Unimpacted Wells.

THEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Exxon Mobil defendants now jointly move for dismissal without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as to all claims against Defendants Exxon Mobil Corporation (individually and f/k/a Exxon Corporation and d/b/a ExxonMobil Refining & Supply Company, Exxon Chemical U.S.A., and ExxonMobil Chemical Corporation) and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation (individually and f/k/a Mobil Oil Corporation) (collectively, "the Exxon Mobil Defendants").

DATED: January, 12, 2011


RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, AGREED TO AND
ACCEPTED,

by:




Celeste Evangelisti
BARON & BUDD, P.C.
3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 1100
Dallas, TX 75219
Telephone: 214-521-3605
Facsimile: 214-520-1181
Counsel for Plaintiff City of Riverside

by:



Jeffrey J. Parker
Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP
333 South Hope Street, Suite 4800
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1448
Telephone: (213) 620-1780
Facsimile: (213) 620-1398
*Counsel for Exxon Mobil Corporation and
ExxonMobil Oil Corporation*

SO ORDERED:



The Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin
United States District Judge

Dated: 1/13/11

BC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing **JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING CLAIMS WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS TO EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION AND EXXONMOBIL OIL CORPORATION** was served on all counsel of record by posting it directly to LexisNexis File & Serve on January 12th, 2011.


SHELLY PETERSEN